## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	• •	
10/719,371	LIONG ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Kristie D. Shingles	2141		

	Kristie D. Shingles	2141			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED <u>06 September 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THI	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.			
1.   The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance, (2) a Notice of App for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, v with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request		
<ul> <li>a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date</li> </ul>					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.					
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TV MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION, See MPEP 706.07(f).					
ktensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee we been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee nader 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as at forth in (a) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, any reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  OTICE OF APPEAL					
. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(a)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since Notice of Appeal has been filled, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).					
AMENDMENTS					
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I         <ul> <li>(a) They raise new issues that would require further co</li> <li>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo</li> </ul> </li> </ol>	nsideration and/or search (see NOT		cause		
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or		lucing or simplifying t	ne issues for		
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally reig	cted claims.			
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	,				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (	PTOL-324).		
<ol> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li> </ol>					
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	lowable if submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the		
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)  will not be entered, or b)  will be entered and an explanation of how the new or manended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-27.					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>					
. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons with it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).					
The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.  REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER					
The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:		
2. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)					
13. Other:					
		kds/20070925			

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: As stated in the previous action, Basso et al teach the claimed limitation of "translating the mapping policy and the network policy into device-specific commands, by teaching the configuration of the routers based on the QoS and service fields (page 4 paragraphs 0029-0032, pages 5-6 paragraph 0042). Furthermore, Edmondson does sufficiently teach the claimed limitation of "definining a customer policy and device specific commands, wherein each policy target comprise a network device that includes an interface assigned a role name associated with the customer policy by disclosing the assignment of role names to router interfaces associated with specific customer policies (page 2 paragraphs 018-0020, page 7 paragraph 1018). The customer policies is (Emondson are translated into QoS command-lime interface commands for controlling the routers according the customer's policies (pages 7-8 paragraphs 0128-0134). Applicant's arguments are therefore unpersuasive and the relection under the prior art is maintained.